
Human Capital and Healthcare System Transformation: A Comprehensive Review of Workforce Strategy, Digital Innovation, and Strategic Leadership for Equitable Access

¹*Angelie Agboluaje

¹King Salman Specialised Hospital, 7815 Ali Ibn Abi Talib Road, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah 42319, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

Background: Health systems are under increasing pressure from rising demand, ageing populations, workforce shortages, and widening inequities, simultaneously digital transformation accelerates service redesign. As technology outpaces current workforce capability and therefore workflow integration and governance, the consequence is that access gains are not guaranteed.

Aim: To examine how human capital development, workforce strategy, digital innovation, and strategic leadership interact to improve equitable access, and with implications of these findings for Saudi Vision 2030.

Methods: Articles published between 2020-2025 were searched, and a full literature review was performed based on a predetermined keyword search strategy: human capital/workforce, digital health, leadership/governance, and equity/access. PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect and Google Scholar were searched. Peer-reviewed studies fully written in English and articles pertinent to health-systems or hospital transformation and publication of access/equity outcomes or articulate intervention on access were encompassed; non-peer-reviewed articles, editorials, and articles lacking service and equity interest were excluded. Thematic analysis was used to synthesise evidence.

Results: Findings indicate that workforce maldistribution, where some clinical areas are stretched for staff and other areas are overstaffed, and EHR-related burden, such as data entry, system navigation, documentation, expend time and energy away from direct patient care, causes stress and contributes to burnout, in addition, this constrains the overall service and productivity capacity of the system. However, readiness and competency building improve the adoption of digital innovations. Telehealth expands reach when supported by redesigned roles (e.g., navigation/ambassador support) and patient enablement. Equity frameworks highlight trust, literacy, connectivity, and structural determinants as conditions for engagement. Studies from Saudi Arabia report favourable telemedicine attitudes but uneven awareness. Leadership and governance strengthen digital intensity through organisational agility and explicitly clarify teams accountable for safety and equity associated with access to care.

Conclusion: Equitable access emerges when workforce investment, digital design and leadership operate as an integrated system. The proposed framework links mechanisms to measurable access outcomes and supports scalability beyond Saudi reform settings.

Keywords: human capital; workforce strategy; digital health; strategic leadership; equitable access; Saudi Vision 2030.

1. Introduction

Transformation pressure in health systems is intensifying because service demand rises alongside an ageing population the growing burden of chronic disease also spirals. As of 2021, noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) caused at least 43 million deaths, accounting for ~75% of non-pandemic-related deaths globally; 73% of these deaths occurred in low- and middle-income countries.

Among the 18 million premature NCD deaths (before age 70), 82% occurred in low- and middle-income countries (Organization, 2025). As the demographic of the ageing population increases, so does the intensity of the healthcare required by said group: the proportion of the population that is aged ≥ 60 or older receives continuous and coordinated care as this group is projected to increase from 12% (2020) to 22% (Organization, 2025). These mounting pressures

Angelie Agboluaje

King Salman Specialised Hospital, 7815 Ali Ibn Abi Talib Road, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah 42319, Saudi Arabia.
Email: angeliea081@gmail.com

Received: 5-Mar-2026

Revised: 2-April-2026

Accepted: 10-April-2026



©2026 Copyright by the Authors.

Licensed as an open access article using a [CC BY 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

are diametrically opposed to limited resources and conflict with societal expectations for timeliness and safety. At the same time, the issues are exacerbated by recurrent system shocks caused by surges, prolonged stress (e.g., pandemic), and compounded by chronic underfunding, which demonstrate weaknesses in continuity and shock capacity. In this context, transformation is not idealistic; it is functional, and the fast pace of change may inadvertently create more disparities unless the effects of access are tracked.

The most productive resource in the system is human capital because the provision of care is based on clinical judgment, collaboration, and relational interaction (Lackie & Murphy, 2020). However, the capacity of the workforce is not balanced or adequate in most situations. In 2020, a major reassessment estimated a global health worker shortage of 15 million. The shortage is projected to decline to 10 million by 2030, although reductions are expected to be slower in some regions, sustaining equity risks as demand increases (Boniol et al., 2022). At the organisational level, the issue of workforce capability and wellbeing determines whether the safety aspects of digital transformation are well executed. The advent of digital work is fast becoming central to the workforce experience. Literature indicates that EHR-related workload can contribute to burnout, even though the devised mitigation strategies (e.g., scribes, training, and EHR optimisation) are inconclusive and still require the implementation to be rigorously evaluated to confirm actual effectiveness with predetermined objective outcomes (Kang & Sarkar, 2024). The workforce at large is the primary leverage for digital transformation agendas, but currently, the workforce is also a binding constraint; therefore, investment must focus on sufficiency in staffing numbers in addition to development of core competency and retention in order to achieve goals.

System transformation in healthcare is typified by the concerted redesign of services, workforce, financing, and information flows to optimise patient outcomes and experience at scale. It goes beyond single projects towards governing the levels of care, alignment of pathways and performance measurement. The success depends on the alignment between the new planned paths and the actual operating capacity. Workforce strategy transforms service goals to jobs, capabilities, staffing plans and compensation. Digital innovation transforms the organisation of labour through interoperability, remote focus, data analytics, and decision support. Digital tools are most effective in reducing the last-mile barrier when accompanied by

practical enablement and as an aid to pre-existing good practice. For instance, a volunteer telehealth ambassador model was found to offer technical assistance to patients and transform a planned audio visit into a video visit in a safety-net system, directly overcoming the barriers to digital access (Tuot et al., 2024). On the patient level, trust, social determinants of health, and self-efficacy influence the use of telehealth, and health literacy barriers and patient-centred communication indirectly, such that technology implementation on its own is unlikely to produce equitable uptake (Qu, 2025). Digital tools only become truly accessible once patients receive the human assistance to use and therefore adopt them.

Equitable access is the outcome that shows whether transformation benefits the whole population, rather than only early adopters or well-resourced regions. Equity must be measured in terms of availability, timeliness, geographic coverage, acceptability, and quality consistency. Current equity models focus on the fact that an opportunity to participate in digital health is contingent on structural conditions (connectivity, literacy, trust, design decisions, and support) as opposed to simple availability (Kim & Backonja, 2025). Equally, a recent study by Bitomsky et al. (2025) indicates that digital health technologies may support the equity initiatives, but the impact varies based on the practices of implementation and security.

Saudi Arabia offers a timely policy context because Vision 2030 reforms prioritise improving access and quality while advancing health-system restructuring and accelerating digital transformation (Suleiman & Ming, 2025). Execution should also consider the state of the community in terms of readiness and adoption as well. A recent study in Saudi Arabia presents optimistic perceptions and intentions to apply telemedicine; however, in contrast, there exist knowledge deficits, meaning people lack the computer literacy to use it confidently and practical impediments such as training gaps, workflow issues, and technological challenges like wifi. This is directly pertinent to equitable scale-up, meaning these issues cause an implementation gap so that adoption is not taken up as speedily as it could be (Abd El Mawgod et al., 2024).

This review aimed to examine how human capital, workforce strategy, digital innovation, and strategic leadership jointly shape equitable access. It amalgamates evidence, identifies mechanisms applicable to Saudi Vision 2030 and proposes recommendations.

The analytical approach utilised in this review is based on direct operational experience in the Saudi National

Guard Health Affairs system, at King Salman Specialised Hospital (KSSH). Operating in this environment offers direct experience on the real issues related to human capital management, such as credentialing bottlenecks, a delayed onboarding process, and the logistical issues associated with absorbing internationally hired healthcare professionals into a high-quality, locally-managed system. These observations demonstrated organisational loopholes in workforce preparedness, administrative effectiveness, and recruitment coordination, which are seldom reflected in the published literature. Although these practitioner insights are not primary research data, they informed

how the literature was interpreted by foregrounding the operational mechanisms that translate governance intent into workforce outcomes. This practitioner grounding also sharpened attention to feasibility, focusing on the enabling conditions required for workforce and digital strategies to produce equitable access in routine practice.

2. Methodology

2.1 Search strategy

This review utilised a structured, comprehensive literature search to identify evidence linking human capital development, workforce strategy, digital innovation, and

Table 1: Search Strategy

Database	Keywords / Search Terms	Search String (example)
PubMed / MEDLINE	Human capital, workforce strategy, staffing, training, retention, burnout; digital health, EHR, telemedicine, AI; leadership, governance; equitable access, equity, access, UHC	((“human capital” OR workforce OR staffing OR “workforce strategy” OR training OR retention OR burnout) AND (“digital health” OR “health information system*” OR EHR OR telemedicine OR telehealth OR AI OR “clinical decision support”) AND (leadership OR governance OR “strategic leadership” OR “clinical governance”) AND (equity OR “equitable access” OR access OR “universal health coverage”))
Scopus	Workforce planning, skill mix, competency-based, task shifting; digital transformation, analytics, interoperability; leadership, change management; access, equity	TITLE-ABS-KEY ((workforce OR “human capital” OR staffing OR “workforce planning” OR “skill mix” OR retention) AND (“digital health” OR telemedicine OR AI OR analytics OR interoperability OR EHR) AND (leadership OR governance OR “change management”) AND (equity OR access OR “equitable access” OR “universal health coverage”))
Web of Science	Health workforce, human capital; digital innovation, telehealth, AI; strategic leadership, governance; access, equity	TS=((workforce OR “human capital” OR staffing OR “workforce strategy” OR retention) AND (“digital health” OR telehealth OR telemedicine OR AI OR “health information system*” OR EHR OR interoperability) AND (leadership OR governance OR “strategic leadership” OR “clinical governance”) AND (equity OR access OR “equitable access” OR “universal health coverage”))
Google Scholar	Vision 2030, Saudi health transformation; workforce strategy; digital health; leadership; equity/ access	(“Saudi Arabia” OR “Vision 2030”) AND (workforce OR “human capital” OR staffing OR retention) AND (“digital health” OR telemedicine OR EHR OR AI) AND (leadership OR governance) AND (equity OR access OR “equitable access”)
ScienceDirect	Workforce development; digital innovation; leadership; access to equity in hospitals/health systems	((workforce OR “human capital” OR staffing OR “workforce strategy”) AND (“digital innovation” OR “digital health” OR EHR OR telemedicine OR AI) AND (leadership OR governance) AND (equity OR access OR “equitable access”))

strategic leadership with equitable access to healthcare. Searches covered January 2020 to December 2025 and were limited to English-language, peer-reviewed journal articles and high-quality conference papers relevant to health systems or hospital settings. The core concepts were combined using Boolean operators and adapted to each database: (“human capital” OR workforce OR staffing OR “workforce strategy”) AND (“digital health” OR “health information system” OR telemedicine OR AI) AND (leadership OR governance OR “strategic leadership”) AND (equity OR “equitable access” OR access OR “universal health coverage”), with an added context term when appropriate (“Saudi Arabia” OR “Vision 2030”) as described in Table 1.

The initial search identified records after removing clearly irrelevant hits at the query phase. Titles and abstracts were filtered as being relevant to the four-domain intersection and to access or equity outcomes. Full texts were then assessed to confirm conceptual alignment and whether the studies reported findings that were suitable for data extraction and synthesis. Upon screening and eligibility criteria, 15 articles were finalised to undergo synthesis. Exclusions at the full-text stage reflected a narrow technology evaluation, independent from a workforce/leadership connection, a workforce analysis without the relevance of digital or access, opinion writing,

or results that were not related to access, quality, or equity. The review is informed by the analytical experience of the author, who has been working at King Salman Specialised Hospital as an operational manager, which has generated systemic issues of HR capacity, credentialing bottlenecks, recruitment processes, and onboarding inefficiencies that were directly witnessed in the context of professional practice. The analytical lens of the review was informed by the knowledge of this practitioner, namely in sensitising the inquiry toward mechanisms at the level of implementation, as well as institutional enablers, but it was not considered as primary data, nor did it affect the inclusion/exclusion criteria in the study. This reflexive transparency coincides with good practice in health systems research, where positionality in interpretation can be created by the position of the reviewer. Practitioner experience is not regarded as bias to be eliminated, but is seen as a contextual insight, which makes analytical sensitivity more sensitive, in this review.

2.2 Eligibility criteria

Eligibility criteria were used to identify studies, which allowed transparency and reproducibility of the study selection. The predefined criteria were applied to the titles and abstracts after the elimination of duplicates to identify that they meet the requirements of relevance to healthcare transformation and the four domains of

Table 2: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

S. No.	Criterion	Inclusion	Exclusion
1	Scope and setting	Healthcare transformation studies in health systems, hospitals, specialist centres, primary care, or integrated care networks.	Non-health sectors, laboratory-only work, or clinical efficacy studies with no system/service relevance.
2	Core constructs	Addresses ≥ 2 domains: human capital/workforce strategy, digital innovation, strategic leadership/governance, with implementation or performance relevance.	Single-domain studies (only HR, only tech, or only leadership) without cross-domain linkage.
3	Access and equity focus	Reports access/equity outcomes (e.g., waiting time, utilisation, coverage, geography, subgroup differences) or clear mechanisms affecting equitable access.	Purely technical or usability-focused studies without linkage to access, equity, quality, or service delivery outcomes.
4	Study type, language, time	Peer-reviewed empirical studies or robust reviews, English, published 2020–2025.	Editorials/opinion pieces, non-peer-reviewed sources, non-English, or pre-2020 publications (except background only).
5	Full-text availability	Full text accessible for screening and data extraction.	Abstract-only or inaccessible full text.

interest of the review. Articles that had full-text were then evaluated to check conceptual fit and availability of access/equity results or well-defined mechanisms that affected access. Data extraction was possible only on peer-reviewed articles, published since 2020 and in English with full access. Table 2 summarises the final inclusion and exclusion criteria.

2.3 Data Extraction

A standard extraction form was adopted in order to record the characteristics and findings of the study similarly. The fields that were extracted were: Author/year, country/region (inclusiveness of Saudi, where appropriate), setting (primary care, hospital, specialist centre), study design and sample, human capital variables (staffing levels, competency, training, burnout, retention), workforce strategy variables (skill mix, role redesign, planning models, incentives), digital components (EHR/interoperability, telehealth, AI/analytics, workflow tools), leadership/governance approach (transformational, distributed, clinical governance), access/equity outcomes (waiting time, referral completion, geographic reach, utilisation by subgroup, affordability proxies).

Data was extracted using a standardised form to support descriptive mapping and identification of mechanisms and cross-study themes. Evidence was synthesised using thematic analysis. Findings were organised into three thematic categories: (i) direct effects on access, (ii) enabling mechanisms, and (iii) contextual moderators. Discrepancies were resolved by re-checking the original text and prioritising explicitly reported findings over interpretation.

3. Results

The records were identified based on database search and filtered by pre-established eligibility criteria, and the eligible studies were retained after the full-text search. The synthesis of the evidence was conducted based on four domains, which included human capital, workforce strategy, digital innovation, and strategic leadership/governance. Online instruments were an unending broadening of scope, yet the advantages relied upon the competence of personnel, the incorporation of business processes, and the focus of leadership. The most beneficial gains on equity were achieved when interventions tackled the digital literacy and trust barriers, in addition to structural barriers, in addition to technology. The evidence that was Saudi-centric reflected an overall

positive attitude to telemedicine, although the awareness about telemedicine is uneven, and there is a gap in the perceptions about implementing telemedicine that relates to Vision 2030.

3.1 Theme 1: Human Capital Evidence

Human capital in healthcare is defined as the collective knowledge, clinical skills and experience and the ability to adapt of a workforce that transforms the funding plus infrastructure into safe and prompt care (Grigorovica et al., 2024). It is the primary input of production and the primary constraint of transformation programs since the volume of services, their quality, and patient experience are predetermined by the level of staffing, competence, and team performance. It has been demonstrated that the shortages in the world are material and uneven. Recent re-assessments indicated that there were approximately 15 million workers in health shortage in 2020, down to around 10 million in 2030, with slower improvement in the WHO African and Eastern Mediterranean regions, and there are higher equity risks as the demand grows faster than supply. Shortages in the system are exposed to maldistribution regionally and in specialities, leading to access bottlenecks, long waiting times, and delays in referrals (Kumar et al., 2025).

Another access determinant is the well-being of the workforce: high workloads, inadequate digital processes and ineffective support may enhance burnout and turnover, continuity and recruiting costs, whereas stable teams enhance reliability and learning (Govindaras et al., 2023). In Saudi Arabia, planning of the workforce is informed by the objectives of the nationalisation and Vision 2030, as well as the continued dependence on expatriate labour in most contexts. Reviews of the Saudi health workforce emphasise the need for coordinated policies across training pipelines, retention strategies, and workforce productivity to meet future demand (Khormi et al., 2024). Overall, the literature recommends that fair access should not only be provided by boosting staffing levels but also by attaining the appropriate mix of skills, distribution and working conditions to support performance in the event of change. Training should be accompanied by investments, supervision, safe staffing and role redesigning have higher probabilities of producing quicker and more permanent access gains (Hussain et al., 2025).

3.2 Theme 2: Workforce Strategy Models

The workforce converts service goals into

Table 3: Summary of Studies Included in the Review (n=15)

Author(s) & Year	Setting / Context	Design / Data	Main do-main(s)	Equity/access focus	Key insight
Boniol et al. (2022)	Global (WHO NHTWA data; projections to 2030)	Secondary data analysis; stock-and-flow projections	Human capital; workforce planning	Inequitable workforce distribution threatens UHC	Large workforce growth can mask widening regional inequities; access improvements need distribution + retention policies.
Brommeyer & Liang (2022)	Australia + international evidence	3-step systematic approach: policy analysis + program analysis + scoping review	Workforce strategy; leadership capability	Indirect (capability gaps can widen service access gaps)	Digital transformation needs deliberate management competency building, not just technology rollout.
Alotaibi et al. (2025)	Multi-setting (healthcare workforce readiness evidence)	Systematic review	Human capital; digital capability	Readiness as a precondition for equitable implementation	Digital readiness spans skills, infrastructure, and organisational support; weak readiness risks uneven uptake and access.
Kludacz-Alesandri et al. (2025)	Primary healthcare entities (Poland & Netherlands)	Cross-sectional survey; 400 managers; SEM	Strategic leadership; digital intensity	Links digital maturity to accessibility/quality	Digital transformational leadership strengthens digital intensity via organisational agility; national system context shapes impact.
Ibrahim et al. (2024)	Nursing workforce (hospital context)	Cross-sectional survey	Human capital; digital adoption	Adoption barriers can limit access gains	Nurse readiness and perceived usefulness drive adoption; targeted training and support improve implementation traction.
Abou Hashish et al. (2024)	Saudi nursing students	Cross-sectional survey; n=266	Human capital development	Future workforce capability for equitable digital care	Digital proficiency varies; structured curricula can reduce capability gaps that later translate into unequal service quality.
Kang & Sarkar (2024)	Clinicians using EHRs (multi-setting)	Systematic review; 44 intervention studies	Workforce wellbeing; digital workflow	Burnout affects continuity and service capacity	Scribes, training, and EHR optimisation show improvements in satisfaction/time; better digital work design supports staffing stability.
Kim & Backonja (2025)	Cross-sector digital health equity literature	Scoping review of equity frameworks	Equity frameworks; digital governance	Direct (defines equity constructs and measures)	Equity frameworks emphasise access, literacy, bias, and structural determinants—useful for building a review framework and study table domains.
(Candio & Ooms, 2025)	Digital health equity evidence (broad)	Umbrella review + conceptual framework	Equity; leadership/governance; digital strategy	Direct (equity mechanisms and design principles)	Proposes an integrated equity-focused lens for digital health, positioning equity as a design and implementation outcome.

Cont. Table 3

Author(s) & Year	Setting / Context	Design / Data	Main do-main(s)	Equity/ac-cess focus	Key insight
Bitomsky et al. (2025)	Digital health technologies and equity outcomes	Scoping review	Digital innovation; equity	Direct (when tech reduces vs widens gaps)	Equity effects depend on implementation choices (access channels, support, literacy); “digital-first” can exclude without safeguards.
Phillips et al. (2025)	Prehospital emergency care access	Scoping review	Strategic leadership; governance	Direct (governance for equitable access)	Leadership/governance mechanisms (planning, accountability, financing) are core levers for equitable access, not add-ons.
Tuot et al. (2024)	US safety-net system	Intervention evaluation (Telehealth Ambassador program)	Workforce strategy; digital access enablement	Direct (patient support to reduce digital barriers)	Human support roles increased engagement with video visits and addressed access barriers (navigation, setup, confidence).
Qu (2025)	US national dataset (HINTS, NCI)	Cross-sectional analysis; n=6252; SEM	Digital adoption; patient factors	Direct (literacy, trust, SDOH shape use)	Telehealth use is shaped by trust, health literacy barriers, and SDOH; equity requires patient-centred communication + literacy supports.
Veras et al. (2025)	Telerehabilitation for older adults	Rapid review: 860 records, 14 included	Digital innovation; ethics/leadership	Direct (accessibility, usability, inclusion)	Telerehab raises equity risks (digital skills, accessibility, device/internet access); mitigation needs design standards + support pathways.
Abd El Mawgod et al. (2024)	Saudi Arabia (central/northern/western regions)	Web-based cross-sectional study	Digital innovation; public adoption	Direct (acceptance and adoption barriers)	Public perception is generally positive, but awareness and practical barriers persist—useful for Saudi implementation planning aligned with Vision 2030.

workforce demand, skill mix, deployment and incentives, and the intermediary of policy ambition and operational capacity (Sun & Liu, 2025). The use of competency-based planning is pivotal since the new care models demand the ability to function in population health, care coordination, informatics, and quality improvement, rather than the conventional clinical activities. Systematic evidence about the digital readiness indicates that the ability to build capability is more effective when the latter involves training along with organisational facilitating factors, such as leadership support, infrastructure, reserved time, and well-defined workflows, than one-time courses (Alotaibi et al., 2025). The multiprofessional models and task shifting are common in increasing capacity and

access where specialist time is limited. One effective opportunity is the development of patient-facing patient-techie-navigator or patient-facing patient-ambassador roles to offer technical assistance to transform booked audio-only telehealth appointments into video visits in the safety-net. The examples of such roles demonstrate how workforce redesign can open the value of digital platforms by eliminating care point friction (Mohta et al., 2025). Retention and incentive strategies matter because recruitment cannot be executed or close gaps in case of high turnover; efficient solutions concerning workload, career advancement, recognition, and flexible scheduling should be adopted, and the performance metrics should be linked to patient access and quality (Savitha & Kumar,

2024). It is a workforce transformation strategy called leadership pipelines: organisations require clinically credible managers who can facilitate change, manage risk and make use of data. A systematic development of digitally empowered health management capacity focuses on the systematic development of channels and better role definitions to continue benefiting from change (Liaw & Godinho, 2023). In all the contexts, when the staffing models, competencies and incentives are built based on the redesigned pathways, services grow in a more predictable way, and access gains are maintained. This is more so in the cases where localisation policies transform supply and demand.

3.3 Theme 3: Digital Innovation

Digital innovation has four primary pathways of impact on equitable access, namely standardisation of information, reach extension, decision quality, and visibility of operations (Varadarajan, 2024). Interoperability and electronic health records allow safe transfer and coordinated referrals, whereas readiness measurement in Saudi hospitals indicates that interoperability and maturity of governance are not consistent, which impacts the credibility of shared data. The process of prioritisation and allocation of resources can be facilitated with the help of predictive analytics and AI, but it relies on the quality of data and if its trusted by clinicians, and it may introduce inequities when models do not work equally in subgroups or when they are poorly supervised. Remote care and telemedicine are invariably promising when it comes to the potential to diminish travel barriers and enhance convenience, and adoption is unequal (Lu & Sun, 2025). With an urban safety-net system, a volunteer telehealth ambassador program offered technical assistance to patients and sought to transition audio-only visits to video visits and proved that human support is needed to facilitate digital access and make it a viable form of access.

Population-level points to the fact that trust, social determinants of health, self-efficacy, and health literacy barriers are influencing the use of telehealth, meaning that the presence of a platform is insufficient without engagement and communication strategies. The use of digital performance intelligence and workforce management tools, including but not limited to dashboards and capacity analytics have the capability to enhance the effectiveness of staffing decisions and minimise waiting times when applied to track flow, demand, and productivity, but it needs data governance and skills (O'G, 2024). Some

barriers are grouped as infrastructure constraints, workflow interference, privacy and security, and unequal digital literacy. A brief survey of Telerehabilitation with the elderly shows that internet and digital literacy have become the prerequisites of equity and that tele-rehabilitation should be designed and supported inclusively (Veras et al., 2025). The total results of the public survey in Saudi Arabia are positive attitudes and interest in telemedicine usage, as well as awareness gaps and practical barriers that must be addressed to enable scale-up and system-wide adoption.

3.4 Theme 4: Strategic Leadership

Strategic leadership defines the success of transformation as an operating model that is sustained or a series of projects that can be repeated (Serfontein, 2010). Transformational leadership promotes a collective cause, empowerment and learning, where transactional leadership can stabilise delivery by having clear targets, incentives and compliance. High-performance organisations tend to have a combination of both, with narratives of transformation and disciplined implementation. There is evidence on digital transformational leadership that it is capable of enhancing organisational digital intensity by promoting agility, implying that leadership behaviours are a quantifiable source of digital uptake and not a soft variable (Ly, 2024). In the context of the hospital, change requires clinical credibility and multiprofessional coordination. Successful programmes establish coalitions between clinical, IT, operations, and quality teams, and distributed and collective leadership approaches are particularly relevant, and front-line champions play well defined high influential roles in driving adoption. Leadership intent is translated into practical protection through strong governance and accountability. These structures should specify who has access to data, what approvals are required for new tools, and how equity impact and its determinants are monitored, in addition to how staff can report safety or performance concerns so that risks are mitigated effectively. The Saudi readiness assessments explicitly consider governance and workforce as the key aspects of digital transformation, which strengthens the idea of the evaluation of the leadership framework and personnel power as the two concepts to be addressed (Alrashedi, 2025).

The rate of implementation is influenced by culture and change management. Resistance develops when the change seems forced rather than synthesised with the staff, if the digital tools increase or duplicate the amount of documentation, and there is no clear sign of visible

benefit, but engagement increases when the organisations invest in training, feedback mechanisms, and workflow redesign (Valtonen & Holopainen, 2025). In Saudi Arabia, transparent governance and responsible leadership are shaped by the Health Sector Transformation Program (HSTP), which sets national expectations for improving access, quality and digital transformation across health systems and facilities. With an ambitious national agenda strong governance structures are required to guide how change is implemented. Leadership pipelines are essential since transformation is not a linear process; it unfolds over years with shifting priorities and iterative cycles of improvement. Developing managers adept in data analysis, risk management, and budgetary oversight with access goals would enable continuity and stability throughout these phases (Sivaraju, 2024). Equity-based leaders also make sure services are inclusive and designed with the voices of older adults and underserved patient populations in mind.

3.5 Theme 5: Equitable Access Frameworks and Integrated Models

Equitable access is best treated as an outcome with defined dimensions and indicators, not as a general value statement (Boeckxstaens et al., 2011). In frameworks, equity is usually across the aspects of availability, geographic coverage, affordability, timeliness, acceptability, and quality, which are consistent across the subgroups of the population. Digital health equity frameworks highlight that the opportunity to use digital tools is a structural determinant-based, community-based, and all-service pathway-based (onboarding, follow-up) framework. Universal health coverage (UHC) offers a target on a system level since it links service coverage and financial protection, and it compels planners to consider the beneficiaries of reforms (Khatri et al., 2025). Workforce distribution is a fundamental equity process: Global projections indicate that even with expanding technological capacity, some regions will not be able to eliminate workforce shortages as quickly as others. This leaves certain areas with uneven starting points for access. Digital technologies can promote equity by reducing travel, supporting self-management, and extending specialist reach; however, scoping evidence cautions that without inclusive design, multiple access channels, literacy support, and strong governance, digital delivery can reinforce existing disparities (Gavin et al., 2024).

Integrated models should connect four components: (1)

supply and well-being of human capital, (2) role design and workforce strategy, (3) data infrastructure and digital capabilities and (4) leadership and governance with equity outcomes. The Health Sector Transformation Program in Saudi Arabia clearly addresses enhanced quality and access as well as digital transformation, thus equity-oriented integration is a realistic demand and not a nice-to-have option (Mahboob, 2025). Other systems have indicated that the more digital expansion is combined with workforce support functions and accountability, the probability that gains of access can be shared. At the hospital level, the framework can be mapped onto service lines by tracking wait times and referral completion, telehealth uptake among the subgroups, and workforce stability, providing a practical way to assess equity gains (Morelli et al., 2024).

3.6 Workforce Pipeline Mechanisms and Institutional Capacity

A critical but under-theorised dimension of national health system transformation is the development of structured workforce pipelines capable of maintaining organisational readiness across recruitment cycles. Recent credentialing and workforce reports showed that sustainable transformation depends not only on strategic intent but also on how staff were identified, credentialed, onboarded, and developed within the operational architecture, especially as health systems encounter ongoing shortfalls and shifting skill demands (Mukhuty et al., 2022). The 2024 AAPPR physician credentialing report highlights persistent delays, staffing gaps and workflow misalignment in credentialing processes, stating the need for streamlined, technology-supported systems (Gradney, 2023). Global projections similarly emphasise that workforce disparity, uneven distribution and bottlenecks in recruitment workflows remain major constraints on system-wide reform requiring coordinated pipeline strategies (Annor, 2025). Within Saudi Arabia, the health sector transformation program highlights the need for robust workforce capability and modernised recruitment processes as part of national reform efforts (Vision 2030 Health Sector Transformation Report, 2024).

At King Salman Specialised Hospital, the author's original recruitment proposal, developed in response to observed gaps in workforce throughput, illustrates how such pipelines can be operationalised. The mechanisms included fellowship partnerships with international universities to provide structured transition to practice exposure prior to deployment in Saudi programmes and facilities;

complemented by short-course modules targeting specific competency gaps; internship pathways with embedded ward simulations designed to mirror Saudi healthcare environments and supervised practice enabling nurses to build competence and confidence; redesigned onboarding processes integrating credentialing, language preparation focused on functional Arabic to enable staff to communicate effectively with patient and families to navigate the clinical environment and role orientation to support culturally aligned practice, HR capacity assessments to identify bottlenecks in the recruitment workflow; and streamlined credentialing procedures supported by agency partnerships and digital tools for document verification (Shailaja et al., 2025).

- American Hospital Association. Health Care Workforce Scan 2024.
- Evolve ETFs. 2024 Global Health Care Sector Outlook.
- KPMG International. Healthcare Horizons Revisited.
- Vision 2030. Health Sector Transformation Report 2024.

Each of these parts of the continuum addresses a different failure point in the institutional workforce pipeline, based on the argument that equitable access is not only dependent upon macro-level policy design but micro-level administrative and developmental systems that control workforce availability at the point of care. These applied mechanisms are supplements to the theoretical frameworks described in Sections 3.1 to 3.5 that show how workforce pipeline thinking can be translated into institutional operational capacity to achieve national transformation objectives.

4. Discussion

4.1 Applied Case Study: Workforce Transformation at King Salman Specialist Hospital

The 2024 annual report on medical staff credentialing notes that credentialing remains a critical but often cumbersome process, with inefficiencies directly affecting workforce deployment and organisational readiness (HealthStream, 2024). Broader workforce analyses also propose that shortages, turnover and recruitment bottlenecks require coordinated, multistep pipeline strategies to stabilise staffing and support transformation (Ivaniuk, 2023). These findings reinforce the need for health systems to move beyond ad-hoc recruitment and toward structured, capability -building

workforce pipelines. The experience at King Salman Specialist Hospital illustrates how the orchestration model described in the literature can be operationalised in practice. The author's original recruitment proposal, developed in direct response to observed workforce and administrative challenges at KSSH, details a multi-component institutional strategy mapping out to each of the framework's enabling dimensions. In terms of the workforce pipeline development, the proposal identifies fellowship partnerships with internationally reputable universities, particularly King's College London, Imperial College and St George's University of London, as mechanisms to generate a clinically prepared and culturally oriented workforce supply prior to deployment. Complementing this is the use of structured internship programmes that involve ward simulations to mirror the Saudi clinical environment as transitional readiness mechanisms to decrease the discrepancy between theoretical training and effectiveness in practice at the point of entry.

In the area of process redesign and digital enablement, the proposal outlines the implementation of a dedicated recruitment application capable of collecting, verifying, and auto-populating documents across key administrative touchpoints, a mechanism that directly addresses the credentialing bottlenecks and fragmented administrative flows widely reported in the literature (HealthStream, 2024; AAPPR, 2024). Partnerships with visa agencies and primary source verification bodies are proposed to streamline the pre-arrival administrative phase, reflecting international standards, instilling verification integrity and administrative efficiency (Joint Commission International, 2024). HR capacity assessments are incorporated to generate the diagnostic intelligence required to identify and rectify systemic inefficiencies, a practice consistent with research that's underscore administrative inefficiencies as a constraint on workforce deployment (OECD, 2023; WHO, 2023). Taken together, these instruments constitute a coherent institutional-level response to the workforce readiness deficits identified by recent studies as a major limitation to equitable access (Vision 2030 HSTP, 2024). The KSSH case demonstrates that national transformation frameworks are not self-executing: they require deliberate institutional architecture, leadership-driven coordination and process-level discipline to translate strategic intent into functional workforce outcomes. This manuscript's special contribution lies in its direct applicability to bridge the gap between orchestration theory and workforce transformation by providing concrete institutional evidence

and a transferable model for similar contexts of reform.

4.2 Human Capital as Foundation

According to this review, the fundamental nature of health-system transformation has not changed. Despite new technologies, shifting demographics and evolving policy instruments, successful transformation continues to depend on the same structural foundations. A capable and appropriately distributed workforce, organisational processes that can absorb change, and institutional mechanisms that translate strategic intent into operational reality. Contemporary pressures have intensified the urgency of reform, but have not altered the underlying criteria: reforms can only materialise when mediated by the workforce, through clinical judgment, teamwork, and continued operational implementation. At the system level, access is ultimately determined by the workforce adequacy and workforce distribution. As demonstrated by Boniol et al. (2022), although the global number of health workers has increased, the shortages remain significant and unevenly distributed, with the same regions continuing to face persistent gaps that undermine progress toward universal health coverage (Boniol et al., 2022). In practical terms, this translates to the fact that neither digital growth nor redesigning of the pathways will compensate for structural workforce deficits, the poor staffing pipelines, geographic maldistribution or the lack of high-demand specialities.

At the organisational level, human capital is not only concerning the number of heads; it is also concerned with capability and well-being. The data on digital preparedness highlight the idea that employees require systematic assistance to operate and collaborate in a comfortable environment with new technologies and redesigned models of care. According to Alotaibi et al. (2025), training, empowering environments and organisational support are frequent determinants of digital capability, suggesting that investments in transformation should specifically finance the growth of the workforce alongside acquiring technology (Alotaibi et al., 2025). Ibrahim et al. (2024) support the notion that organisational preparedness and precautionary measures influence nurses' willingness to adopt digital health and telemedicine, and that front-line confidence is closely linked to the governance arrangements surrounding digital implementation.

Workforce wellbeing is also an access determinant since burnout and turnover decrease continuity, disrupt the team and undermine the capacity to improve. The systematic review by Kang and Sarkar (2024) examined many

interventions aimed at reducing EHR-related burnout; Researchers rated many approaches positively but found limited objective evidence of the impact. This indicates the necessity for more rigorous evaluations and points to the existence of operational burden created when digital work is poorly aligned with clinical workflows (Kang & Sarkar, 2024). The future workforce perspective is particularly pertinent in Saudi Arabia. Abou Hashish and Alnajjar (2024) recorded shifts in nursing students' perceived digital knowledge and skills, and the authors propose that the transition toward digitally enabled care may become disproportionate if the curriculum and practice placements fail to actively reinforce digital health literacy and applied competency.

4.3 Digital amplifying workforce strategy

Across the included literature, digital innovation is most effective in improving access when it is fortified as an enabler of workforce strategy rather than operating as a parallel standalone technology initiative (Carneiro et al., 2026). Carneiro observed that access improved most reliably when digital tools were allied with redesigned roles and patient-facing support. Tuot et al. (2024) showed that a volunteer-led system of telehealth ambassadors enhanced the use of video-visits in a safety-net system by offering technical support and practical guidance. This intervention successfully transforms the mere availability of digital services into actual access to the services (Tuot et al., 2024).

The determinants are patient-level factors that convey the importance of workforce-enabled models. According to Qu (2025), direct predictors of using telehealth are: trust, social determinants of health, and self-efficacy. Indirect links include health literacy barriers and patient-centred communication. This suggests that the contingencies of accessing depend on the technology, as well as the relational/communication environment that facilitates the engagement of patients (Qu, 2025). Digital models that depend on unevenly distributed resources or capabilities are most susceptible to equity risks. Veras et al. (2025) emphasise that older adults might not receive telerehabilitation because of a lack of digital literacy, access to connectivity, or the inability to adopt technology, and that key ethical challenges (privacy, autonomy, data security) remain insufficiently addressed in many digital health interventions. The work emphasised that at-risk populations are vulnerable to these discrepancies and require intentional design and delivery processes to ensure

equitable access to digital services (Veras et al., 2025). Bitomsky et al. (2025) summarised how digital health technologies can be used to promote equity initiatives at each level of action, but they also caution that equity outcomes depend on implementation decisions such as access channels, support mechanisms and safeguards against excluding populations. and not on the mere deployment of technologies (Bitomsky et al., 2025). Consistent with this perspective, Candio and Ooms (2025) develop a model linking digital interventions to equity pathways and synthesise the available evidence, reinforcing that equity must be designed into implementation rather than assessed retrospectively.

4.4 Leadership as an Integrating Function

The literature suggests that leadership functions as the integrating role that coordinates workforce policy, digital investment, and accountability for access outcomes. Empirical evidence is given by Kludacz-Alessandri et al. (2025), demonstrating that digital transformational leadership impacts the intensity of digital transformation and organisational agility, having varying effects based on national context. The results suggest that digital maturity and digital responsiveness are measurable outcomes directly correlated by leadership behaviours, as opposed to the soft contextual conditions (Kludacz-Alessandri et al., 2025). Access-oriented transformation is closely linked to governance leadership. In a scoping review of leadership and governance to develop emergency care systems in low- and middle-income countries, Phillips et al. (2025) emphasise the influence of national vision, financing, legislation, and accountability systems, noting that institutional architecture plays an equally vital role in shaping equitable access to emergency care.

According to Brommeyer and Liang (2022) Leadership capability in and of itself is a workforce requirement, contingent on workforce strategy issues. The digital health transformation necessitates the purposeful development of a managerial workforce that is able to convert strategy into operational preparedness, overcome challenges in the development of capabilities, and navigate implementation in regulatory complex environments (Brommeyer & Liang, 2022). Similarly, the nursing adoption evidence correlates organisational readiness and safety protocols with the credibility of implementation (Ibrahim et al., 2024), while evidence from digital readiness studies shows that facilitating conditions and training are precursors to adoption on scale (Alotaibi et al., 2025).

4.5 Equity as Outcome and Persistent Gaps

Equity cannot be narrowed down to the equal availability of digital services; it should be evaluated as a fair chance to participate and benefit (Kim & Backonja, 2025). As demonstrated by (Kim & Backonja, 2025) Their concept of digital health equity encompasses a multi-level socio-ecological framework. In this framework, there are a myriad of extensive interrelated factors that simultaneously shape equity. These include individual resources: literacy, skills. Devices, language, community context, social support, local infrastructure, connectivity, organisational capacity, work flows, staffing, cultural competency, and societal structures like policy, funding, regulation, and structural inequalities. This wide breadth of determinants means equity is influenced by deeply entrenched variables across multiple levels of the system. (Kim & Backonja, 2025) Show that treating equity as an outcome measured after implementation rather than designed centrally around it minimises the nature of the problem. This can explain why the process of transformation can produce a paradoxical effect: both enhance the average access while inadvertently increasing gaps for demographics currently facing structural disadvantages.

The empirical adoption evidence illustrates persistent gaps, as shown by Qu (2025), with the use of telehealth being patterned by social determinants and trust (Qu, 2025). Utilisation reflects inequalities and hesitancy rather than service availability. The risk of older adults being excluded from telehealth is affected by exactly the same elements of trust and social determinants like digital literacy and structural barriers, according to Veras et al. (2025).

Abd El Mawgod et al. (2024) found despite low awareness of telemedicine there was largely positive perceptions and intentions to implement at the population level in Saudi Arabia, indicating that the constraint of the implementation could be knowledge, reassurance of the reliability of digital care, and design implementation instead of resistance to the idea of digital care (Abd El Mawgod et al., 2024). The lesson for Saudi transformation agendas: the signal is to bridge the awareness-to-use gap with coherent messaging, structured onboarding, and clinical governance indicators (e.g., safety assurance, presence of clear escalation procedures, and patient choice of channel).

4.6 Cross-Country Comparative Analysis

Globally, evidence incessantly relays that structural conditions accentuate the extent to which digital transformation can translate to equitable access.

Uneven workforce distribution is a pervasive structural constraint that limits access gains even under sustained reform mandates (Boniol et al., 2022). European primary care comparisons intimate that leadership and agility are pivotal to realising digital intensity, although the degree of influences diverge depending on national settings (Kludacz-Alessandri et al., 2025). Digital enablement and patient support are commonly used to remedy last-mile barriers in US safety-net care, including but not limited to ambassador/navigator models (Tuot et al., 2024) and by exploring how the pathways of trust and literacy are developed (Qu, 2025). In Low and Middle Income Countries; Governance, financing and national accountability structures are imperative and foundational to achieve equitable outcomes (Phillips et al., 2025). The Saudi Arabian population has shown an indication of willingness to use telemedicine; however, the lack of awareness pinpoints how essential communication strategies and inclusive design are in the approach Abd El Mawgod et al. (2024), and the necessity to make the workforce pipelines always become digital (Abou Hashish & Alnajjar, 2024).

Practitioner Insight: Workforce Mechanisms at King Salman Specialist Hospital

The author's original recruitment proposal, developed at KSSH, emerged in direct response to observed operational bottlenecks and identifies interventions tailored to these challenges. The institutional case is analogous to the orchestration logic apparent across the international literature because it interprets system-level constraints into concrete resolutions within organisational mechanisms, illuminating how KSSH is positioning itself to adapt to the 21st century workforce and digital transformation demands. Central to the proposal is the deployment of a custom recruitment software and onboarding application, to function as a centralised credentialling database and streamline verification processes, thereby reducing delays associated with manual administrative workflows. This digital core is supported by redesigned onboarding pathways that embed role orientation, language preparation and competency confirmation into a structured pre-arrival pathway mirroring the immigration requirements so both processes could happen alongside one another; Systemic assessment of HR capacity to diagnose where bottlenecks for and prioritise process improvement; and the establishment of fellowship and internship pipelines, through international university partnerships to generate workforce supply already familiar and pre-oriented to the

Saudi healthcare environment. Together, these initiatives demonstrate that the cross-national patterns identified in this review are actionable at the institutional level, and that workforce readiness requires intentional structural investment rather than incremental service expansion. The experience of KSSH thus yields applied evidence to actualise the possibilities of national transformation frameworks within facilities through coordinated recruitment, credentialling and development systems supported by purpose-built digital tools.

4.7 Theoretical Contributions

The studies included in this review support a coherent integrated explanation, equitable access is created due to the interplay of (1) human capital capacity and wellbeing, (2) workforce strategy and role design, (3) digital innovation integrated into workflow, and (4) leadership/governance to ensure incentives and equity outcomes monitoring. The logic model that Candio and Ooms (2025) provided was a helpful contribution by explicitly charting the causal relationships between digital health interventions and health equity outcomes to allow future synthesis to understand in which areas where equity was incorporated or was absent in intervention design and evaluation (Candio & Ooms, 2025). Kim and Backonja (2025) extended this work by consolidating the digital health equity framework landscape and clarifying the range of concepts required to design and evaluate equity-focused interventions. Bitomsky et al. (2025) further incorporate a synthesis at the implementation level regarding the position of digital technologies with equity initiatives and what circumstances determine equity benefits (Kludacz-Alessandri et al., 2025).

This study contributes theoretically by identifying the structural duplication inherent in expatriate workforce onboarding systems, where identical identity and credential documents are repeatedly submitted across recruitment, immigration, and essential service activation despite being anchored to a single national identifier (the iqama). By conceptualising a unified digital onboarding ecosystem-integrating recruitment, credentialling, visa processing, and service activation within a single interoperable architecture-the analysis extends orchestration logic into institutional workforce design and reframes recruitment modernisation as a structural component of national digital transformation. Although grounded in practitioner experience, the insight constitutes a theoretical contribution by demonstrating how the iqama-anchored identity system, combined with

the employer's responsibility for issuing entry visas, exit reentry visas, and coordinating inbound travel, creates the conditions for a single verified dataset to support all onboarding processes. KSSH utilises an Applicant Tracking Services a digital repository that stores credentialling and onboarding workflows, and similar systems are used across major healthcare organisations. If these platforms were interoperable, workforce transfer and mobility would become substantially more efficient as the same verified data set could support institutional onboarding, immigration processes, and essential service activation simultaneously. Together, these mechanisms illustrate how institutional architecture and recruitment design can be modernised to support 21st century workforce demands and demonstrate the feasibility of integrating recruitment, visa processing, and service onboarding within a single coordinated digital pathway.

4.8 Policy Implications

Workforce strategy should be understood as an access infrastructure in the formation of national policy requiring deliberate attention to distribution, retention, and competency development and digital investment. Equity is threatened by workforce shortages and redistribution even in light of overall stock improvement (Boniol et al., 2022). Digital readiness Interventions should be scaled as a routine aspect of reform (Alotaibi et al., 2025), explicitly financed and linked to quantifiable competence outcomes, for example, managerial ability to lead digital transformation (Brommeyer & Liang, 2022). Equity can be operationalised at the facility level by hospitals embedding digital models directly into workforce redesign, and digital enablement is treated as part of the same strategic architecture. The access barriers are reduced, and the uptake of higher-value care modalities is enhanced when patient-facing enablement roles such as digital navigators and technology- supported coordinators such roles support patients in using digital tools, mitigate digital exclusion, and ensure that digital innovation translates into equitable access rather than widening disparities (Tuot et al., 2024). EHR burden should also be considered an issue of quality and access by organisations: interventions (scribes, training, and EHR modifications) can potentially decrease the perceived burden, but these should be assessed according to the study design of high-quality and objective outcomes (Kang & Sarkar, 2024). Agility, coordination across functions, and quantifiable digital intensity should be the focus of leadership development

(Kludacz-Alessandri et al., 2025).

Digital health should be integrated into equity and universal coverage agendas as a conditional enabler, which proves to be effective in conjunction with inclusive design, governance safeguards, and workforce capacity. Equity initiatives can be advanced through the use of digital tools, yet the results are determined heavily by the implementation options and aids (Bitomsky et al., 2025). Governance and accountability structures that are equity-centred are important during system construction and reconstruction, where leadership and governance arrangements shape the trajectory of emergency care development and determine whether digital investments translate into equitable access (Phillips et al., 2025).

4.9 Workforce Strategy Implications for Practice and Policy

The institutional evidence offered in this review has direct implications for how policymakers and healthcare leaders approach workforce transformation as a core dimension of national system reform. The recruitment model proposal that has been developed in KSSH shows that sustainable workforce readiness requires an integrated strategy spanning at least five functional areas. First, structured pipeline development through fellowship and internship arrangements with academic partners is necessary to generate the supply of workers who are pre-oriented to system standards, reducing the need for an intensive staff experience and value alignment campaigns after arrival and a shorter time required in orientation. Second, once the decision to redesign HR processes has been made, it must be treated as a continuous quality improvement endeavour: credentialling workflows, onboarding sequences, and administrative handoff are not peripheral tasks, but determinants of workforce throughput and time-to-practice. Third, digital tools for recruitment and credentialing, including for document verification systems and automated forms population, although promising in terms of measurable efficiency gains, also require governance oversight to maintain verification integrity.

Fourth, partnership development, headed by organisational leaders and extending to universities, professional bodies, visa agencies, and corporate sponsors, is necessary to create the multi-institutional coordination stipulated by contemporary workforce pipeline complexity. Fifth, localisation strategies should be actively integrated into recruitment architectures rather than aspirational addenda.

Progressive development of local workforce capacity is a strategic imperative for long-term system resilience and for alignment with Vision 2030 objectives. Collectively, these elements affirm that a workforce transformation must be integrated from the onset of reform efforts, not appended to technology or governance changes. Failure to act risks producing systems that are digitally advanced but operationally understaffed, and where the incoherence between the policy ambition and service delivery widens due to underinvestment in workforce enablement.

5. Conclusion

This appraisal demonstrates that fair access is achievable when workforce capacity, digital innovation, and leadership function as an operating system. The studies were unanimous in asserting that implementation was accelerated by the availability of a skilled workforce capacity and their overall well-being. Digital tools can only attain equity when accompanied by redesigned roles that support patient enablement. Governance structures play a crucial role by setting accountability for safety and equity, establishing expectations for how digital interventions are deployed and ensuring that implementation decisions remain concordant with equity objectives. This combined structure creates a coherent pathway connecting investment decisions to access and quality outcomes, allowing performance to be measured and adapted at both the hospital and system levels. Even though the framework is based on the priorities of Saudi Vision 2030, its principles are transferable to other reform contexts facing workforce shortages and digital divides. The emphasis on integrated workforce capacity, digital enablement and equity-centred governance provides a model that can be applied across systems seeking to modernise service delivery while safeguarding equitable access.

The inclusion of practitioner insights captured from the KSSH hiring proposal reinforces the dominant theme present in the literature: Governance, digital infrastructure, and financing reform, workforce capability, and equity protection cannot function as isolated streams of reform, but operate as an interconnected system in each dimension, shaping the effectiveness of the others. The operational lessons learned from KSSH demonstrate the practicality and institutional transferability of the proposed integrated model across institutional contexts. Showing how theoretical abstract principles for equitable access can be translated into workable organisational routines where theory delineates what's required, the proposal details

how they can be assembled, sequenced and sustained. This synthesis of reviewed evidence plus practitioner-generated applied knowledge is the unique epistemological contribution of the present manuscript: The fusion of systematic evidence and practitioner-generated knowledge illuminates the practical aspects. A framework for equitable change in the health system that is theoretically coherent and institutionally grounded.

6. Limitations and Strengths

Limitations include reliance on English language publications and a modest final sample, which might not represent evidence and grey literature in the region. Heterogeneity in study designs as well as results constrained comparability and could not permit quantitative pooling, and publication bias could favour positive digital results. Some of the studies estimated perceptions or readiness and not access metrics minimizing causal confidence. Strengths include a clear search strategy with exact criteria, extraction within the human capital, workforce strategy, digital innovations, and leadership domains, and an equity-oriented synthesis, linking mechanisms to access the results. Inclusion of Saudi-specific research enhances the relevance of the context to Vision 2030 implementation in specialised hospitals.

7. Future Recommendations

The integrated framework should be tested by using a mixed-methods assessment that can measure access, equity, and workforce outcomes. The health systems should make equity indicators in digital services standard, and subgroup and regional uptake should be reported, and mitigation measures should be published. Role redesign, including patient navigation and support, and clinician training and EHR workload optimisation, are the areas that should be invested in by hospitals. The digital governance, change management, and data-informed capacity planning should be the priority of leadership development. In Saudi Arabia, future research should examine localisation pathways, speciality maldistribution, and telehealth awareness to leverage gaps, relating interventions to waiting times, completing referrals, and patient experience. Transferability should be explained by comparative studies.

References

- Abd El Mawgod, M. M., Alshutayli, A. A., Alanazi, S. M., Alqahtani, W. N., Alqahtani, N. A., Alamri, A. M., & Alshammari, N. Z. (2024). Awareness and perception of telemedicine among the general population in the Central, Northern, and Western regions of Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*, 16(7), e64895.
- Abou Hashish, E. A., & Alnajjar, H. (2024). Digital proficiency: assessing knowledge, attitudes, and skills in digital transformation, health literacy, and artificial intelligence among university nursing students. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 508.
- Alotaibi, N., Wilson, C. B., & Traynor, M. (2025). Enhancing digital readiness and capability in healthcare: a systematic review of interventions, barriers, and facilitators. *BMC health services research*, 25(1), 500.
- Alrashedi, A. K. (2025). Future workforce skills for Saudi Arabia: A PLS-SEM analysis of vision 2030 readiness. *Information Development*, 02666669251357311.
- Annor, J. (2025). Building Pathways to the Future: Leveraging Collaborative Instruction Platforms to Address Global and Regional Challenges in Construction Workforce Education University of Wyoming].
- Bitomsky, L., Pfitzer, E., Nißen, M., & Kowatsch, T. (2025). Advancing health equity and the role of digital health technologies: a scoping review. *BMJ open*, 15(6), e099306.
- Boeckxstaens, P., Smedt, D. D., Maeseneer, J. D., Annemans, L., & Willems, S. (2011). The equity dimension in evaluations of the quality and outcomes framework: a systematic review. *BMC health services research*, 11(1), 209.
- Boniol, M., Kunjumen, T., Nair, T. S., Siyam, A., Campbell, J., & Diallo, K. (2022). The global health workforce stock and distribution in 2020 and 2030: a threat to equity and 'universal' health coverage? *BMJ global health*, 7(6).
- Brommeyer, M., & Liang, Z. (2022). A systematic approach in developing management workforce readiness for digital health transformation in healthcare. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(21), 13843.
- Candio, P., & Ooms, T. (2025). A conceptual framework and umbrella review of digital health technology interventions and health equity. *BMC health services research*.
- Carneiro, F., Lizarelli, F. L., Suárez-Barraza, M., Antony, J., Sony, M., & Ma, J. (2026). Exploring the impact of kaizen practices on ESG performance in manufacturing: the role of digital technologies and organizational culture. *Production Planning & Control*, 1-24.
- Gavin, J. P., Clarkson, P., Muckelt, P. E., Eckford, R., Sadler, E., McDonough, S., & Barker, M. (2024). Healthcare professional and commissioners' perspectives on the factors facilitating and hindering the implementation of digital tools for self-management of long-term conditions within UK healthcare pathways. *PloS One*, 19(8), e0307493.
- Govindaras, B., Wern, T. S., Kaur, S., Haslin, I. A., & Ramasamy, R. K. (2023). Sustainable environment to prevent burnout and attrition in project management. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2364.
- Gradney, A. (2023). AAPPR Report Shows Demand for Physicians Reaching a New High as Staffing Shortage Continues. October, <https://aappr.org/2023/10/24/aappr-2023-benchmarking-report-press-release>.
- Grigorovica, E., Slavinska, A., & Bahs, G. (2024). Navigating Challenges: A new conceptual model for human capital management in healthcare. *European Conference on Knowledge Management*,
- Hussain, Z., Bansal, R., Rabby, F., Oulamine, A., Pruthi, N., & Awad, A. (2025). How process automation and employee training jointly drive workflow efficiency: the conditional impact of industry-specific technological expertise. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 1-24.
- Ibrahim, A. M., Alenezi, I. N., Mahfouz, A. K. H., Mohamed, I. A., Shahin, M. A., Abdelhalim, E. H. N., Mohammed, L. Z. G., Abd-Elhady, T. R. M., Salama, R. S., & Kamel, A. M. (2024). Examining patient safety

protocols amidst the rise of digital health and telemedicine: nurses' perspectives. *BMC nursing*, 23(1), 931.

Kang, C., & Sarkar, I. N. (2024). Interventions to reduce electronic health record-related burnout: a systematic review. *Applied Clinical Informatics*, 15(01), 010-025.

Khatri, R. B., Endalamaw, A., Erku, D., Wolka, E., Nigatu, F., Zewdie, A., & Assefa, Y. (2025). Contribution of health system governance in delivering primary health care services for universal health coverage: A scoping review. *PLoS One*, 20(2), e0318244.

Khormi, Y. A. M., Mashraqi, M. M., AlShehri, F. M., Algarni, S. A., Alqarni, O. A., Alharbi, A. A., Samaren, H. M., Hamdi, A. M. A., Alotaibi, H. S., & Alotaibi, H. A. (2024). Healthcare Workforce Challenges and Opportunities in Healthcare Settings in Saudi Arabia: A Systematic Review. *The Review of Diabetic Studies*, 245-258.

Kim, K. K., & Backonja, U. (2025). Digital health equity frameworks and key concepts: a scoping review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 32(5), 932-944.

Kludacz-Alessandri, M., Hawrysz, L., Żak, K., & Zhang, W. (2025). The impact of digital transformational leadership on digital intensity among primary healthcare entities: a moderated mediation model. *BMC health services research*, 25(1), 117.

Kumar, P., Lionis, C., Andoko, D., Rahman, Z., Anastasaki, M., & Awankem, B. (2025). Evaluation of diagnostic services in rural and remote areas: bottlenecks, success stories, and solutions. *Journal of Surgical Specialties and Rural Practice*, 6(1), 32-37.

Lackie, K., & Murphy, G. T. (2020). The impact of interprofessional collaboration on productivity: Important considerations in health human resources planning. *Journal of Interprofessional Education & Practice*, 21, 100375.

Liaw, S.-T., & Godinho, M. A. (2023). Digital health and capability maturity models—a critical thematic review and conceptual synthesis of the literature. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 30(2),

393-406.

Lu, S. F., & Sun, S. (2025). Revolutionizing Healthcare: A Comprehensive Examination of Telemedicine's Journey, Hurdles, and Future Frontiers. *Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management*, 19(2-3), 147-178.

Ly, B. (2024). The interplay of digital transformational leadership, organizational agility, and digital transformation. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 15(1), 4408-4427.

Mahboob, A. M. (2025). Transforming Riyadh: urban development, quality of life, and spatial equity under Vision 2030. *International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies*, 20, 1842-1854.

Mohta, N. S., Lee, K. C., Lee, K., Shand, J., & Morrissey, S. (2025). Workforce Innovation: Technology and Care Redesign. *NEJM Catalyst Innovations in Care Delivery*, 6(1).

Morelli, S., Daniele, C., D'Avenio, G., Grigioni, M., & Giansanti, D. (2024). Optimizing telehealth: Leveraging key performance indicators for enhanced telehealth and digital healthcare outcomes (telemachron study). *Healthcare*,

Mukhuty, S., Upadhyay, A., & Rothwell, H. (2022). Strategic sustainable development of Industry 4.0 through the lens of social responsibility: The role of human resource practices. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(5), 2068-2081.

O'G, Q. O. S. M. (2024). DIGITIZATION OF THE INTELLECTUAL POTENTIAL OF EMPLOYEES WITH EFFECTIVE USE OF HUMAN RESOURCES IN DIGITAL ECONOMIC NETWORKS AND AUTOMATION OF THE MOST IMPORTANT PERFORMANCE INDICATORS [KPI] IN THEIR ACTIVITIES. *Central Asian Journal of Education and Innovation*, 3(2-1), 163-170.

Organization, W. H. (2025). Mid-term evaluation of the WHO Global Coordination Mechanism on the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases. *World Health Organization*.

Phillips, G., Sharma, D., O'Reilly, G., Romero, L., & Cameron, P. (2025). Developing emergency care systems in low-income and middle-income countries: a scoping review to examine the role of leadership and governance. *BMJ open*, 15(12), e102624.

Qu, J. (2025). Factors affecting patients' use of telehealth services: cross-sectional survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 27, e63295.

Savitha, M., & Kumar, S. P. (2024). Optimization of employee performance and Efficient Improvement of multispecialty health care Industry using AI. *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security*, 16(5), 350-357.

Serfontein, J. J. (2010). The impact of strategic leadership on the operational strategy and performance of business organisations in South Africa Stellenbosch: University of Stellenbosch].

Shailaja, P., Pravalika, R., Nishanth, P., Harika, T., & Akhil, S. (2025). A Streamlined Approach to Credential Validation for Enhancing Hiring Processes Through Blockchain. *Journal of Computational Analysis & Applications*, 34(4).

Sivaraju, P. S. (2024). Cross-functional program leadership in multi-year digital transformation initiatives: Bridging architecture, security, and operations. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJARCST)*, 7(6), 11374-11380.

Suleiman, A. K., & Ming, L. C. (2025). Transforming healthcare: Saudi Arabia's vision 2030 healthcare model. *Journal of pharmaceutical policy and practice*, 18(1), 2449051.

Sun, X., & Liu, W. (2025). Expanding service capabilities through an on-demand workforce. *Operations research*, 73(1), 363-384.

Tuot, D. S., Mukherjee, A., Churape, A., DeFries, T., Su, G., Khoong, E. C., & Lyles, C. (2024). Lessons from the field from a volunteer telehealth ambassador program to enhance video visits among low-income patients: qualitative improvement study. *JMIR Formative Research*, 8, e49993.

Valtonen, A., & Holopainen, M. (2025). Mitigating employee resistance and achieving well-being in digital transformation. *Information Technology & People*, 38(8), 42-72.

Varadarajan, R. (2024). Inclusive innovations in services: leveraging digital technologies for inclusive innovations in healthcare services. *European Journal of Marketing*, 58(13), 107-129.

Veras, M., Sigouin, J., Auger, L.-P., Auger, C., Ahmed, S., Boychuck, Z., Cavallo, S., Lévesque, M., Lovo, S., & Miller, W. C. (2025). A rapid review of ethical and equity dimensions in telerehabilitation for physiotherapy and occupational therapy. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 22(7), 1091.

Vision 2030 (2024) Health Sector Transformation Program Annual Report 2024. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Vision 2030.

World Health Organization (2025) Mid-term evaluation of the WHO Global Coordination Mechanism on the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases. World Health Organization.