

# The Multidimensional Etiology and Prevention of Substance Use Disorders: A Focus on Cannabis Use Disorder

<sup>1</sup>\*Dr. Meshari Al-Mahmoud (PhD/MSc Psych.)

<sup>1</sup>KRC, Hawalli, Kuwait, 43601.

## Abstract

Cannabis Use Disorder (CUD) is a growing global concern, driven by the increasing accessibility and normalization of cannabis use. This review examines the multidimensional etiology of CUD, focusing on the interplay between biological, psychological, and social factors. Biologically, genetic predisposition, neurochemical alterations, and early exposure to high-potency cannabis contribute significantly to addiction risk. Psychologically, comorbid mental health disorders, maladaptive coping mechanisms, and personality traits such as impulsivity amplify susceptibility. Socially, factors including family dynamics, socioeconomic status, and cultural acceptance shape patterns of use, further complicated by the expanding legalization of cannabis worldwide. Prevention strategies must reflect this complexity by integrating evidence-based education, policy reform, and tailored interventions. Early education programs emphasizing the risks of cannabis use, combined with regulatory measures targeting advertising and access, can significantly curb initiation rates. Advances in neuroscience and technology, including personalized risk assessments and digital interventions, offer promising avenues for enhancing prevention and treatment. However, challenges such as disparities in healthcare access, stigma, and the emergence of high-potency cannabis products underscore the need for continued innovation and interdisciplinary collaboration. This review underscores the urgency of addressing CUD through comprehensive, multi-pronged approaches that bridge biological, psychological, and social domains. By fostering prevention strategies rooted in science and inclusivity, the global burden of CUD can be effectively mitigated, promoting healthier communities and public well-being.

**Keywords:** Cannabis; Addiction; Prevention; Neurobiology; Epidemiology

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Substance Use Disorders and the Emerging Significance of Cannabis Use Disorder

Substance Use Disorders (SUDs) remain a critical public health challenge, exerting substantial burdens on individuals, families, and healthcare systems worldwide. Among the myriad substances linked to SUDs, cannabis has garnered significant attention due to its widespread recreational use, legalization trends, and the growing recognition of Cannabis Use Disorder (CUD) as a serious clinical condition (1). While cannabis is often perceived as less harmful than other substances, its misuse and dependency potential are profound, particularly among vulnerable populations such as adolescents and individuals with co-occurring mental health conditions (2).

### 1.2. Understanding Cannabis Use Disorder: A

### Multifactorial Condition

Cannabis Use Disorder, classified by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5), encompasses a range of problematic patterns of cannabis use, leading to clinically significant impairment or distress. Current research indicates that the etiology of CUD, like other SUDs, extends beyond a single causal factor and is influenced by a complex interplay of biological, psychological, and social determinants (3). Understanding these interconnected influences is critical for advancing the development of targeted prevention and intervention strategies.

### 1.3. Interplay of Biological, Psychological, and Social Factors in CUD Development

Biologically, the endocannabinoid system plays a pivotal role in cannabis dependency, with

emerging evidence linking genetic predisposition and neurobiological alterations to increased susceptibility to CUD (4). Concurrently, psychological factors, including stress, anxiety, and impulsivity, significantly contribute to the initiation and escalation of cannabis use, often serving as coping mechanisms in individuals facing environmental or emotional stressors (5). Social dynamics, such as familial substance use, peer influences, and cultural norms surrounding cannabis, further compound the risks, highlighting the multifactorial nature of CUD (6).

#### ***1.4. The Need for Comprehensive and Multidimensional Prevention Strategies***

Despite growing awareness of these factors, prevention strategies for CUD remain fragmented and insufficiently integrated. Traditional approaches have often prioritized singular dimensions, such as pharmacological interventions or awareness campaigns, without addressing the synergistic interplay of underlying causes (7). This review article aims to bridge this gap by systematically exploring the biological, psychological, and social determinants of CUD and examining how their convergence drives addiction pathways. Furthermore, it highlights the need for comprehensive prevention strategies that integrate multidisciplinary insights to combat the rising prevalence of CUD effectively.

By synthesizing current knowledge and identifying key challenges, this review seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on SUDs with a particular focus on cannabis. It emphasizes the importance of multidimensional approaches in addressing addiction and advocates for the development of innovative, evidence-based prevention frameworks that resonate with diverse populations and changing societal landscapes.

## **2. Biological Factors Contributing to CUD**

### ***2.1. The Role of the Endocannabinoid System in Cannabis Dependence***

The endocannabinoid system (ECS) is central to understanding the biological underpinnings of Cannabis Use Disorder (CUD). Comprising endogenous cannabinoids, cannabinoid receptors (CB1 and CB2), and metabolic enzymes, the ECS regulates various physiological and psychological processes, including mood, memory, appetite, and stress responses (8). Chronic cannabis exposure disrupts this finely tuned system, leading to desensitization and downregulation of CB1 receptors in the brain, particularly in regions associated with reward,

learning, and decision-making (9). These neurobiological alterations contribute to tolerance, withdrawal symptoms, and compulsive cannabis use.

Notably, delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), the primary psychoactive compound in cannabis, exhibits a high affinity for CB1 receptors, amplifying the reward response. Repeated THC exposure modifies neural plasticity, creating a dependency cycle that reinforces addictive behaviors (10). This dysregulation underscores the critical role of the ECS in the development and perpetuation of CUD.

### ***2.2. Genetic Vulnerability and Predisposition***

Emerging evidence suggests that genetic factors play a significant role in determining individual susceptibility to CUD. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified several genetic variants associated with increased risk of cannabis dependence, particularly those related to the ECS, dopamine signaling pathways, and stress regulation (11). Polymorphisms in the CNR1 gene, encoding the CB1 receptor, have been implicated in heightened vulnerability to CUD, potentially influencing receptor expression and functionality (12).

Additionally, genetic predispositions related to co-occurring psychiatric disorders, such as anxiety, depression, and schizophrenia, further elevate the risk of CUD. Studies have shown that shared genetic markers between these conditions and CUD contribute to overlapping etiological pathways, complicating treatment and prevention efforts (13).

### ***2.3. Neurobiological Alterations and Brain Circuitry***

Chronic cannabis use induces significant neurobiological changes in brain regions implicated in addiction, including the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and hippocampus. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies reveal that long-term cannabis use impairs connectivity in the mesolimbic dopamine pathway, the brain's reward circuit, reducing its sensitivity to natural rewards and promoting drug-seeking behaviors (14).

Moreover, structural alterations, such as reduced gray matter volume in the prefrontal cortex, impair cognitive functions like impulse control and decision-making, further exacerbating dependency risk (15). These neuroadaptive changes create a feedback loop that perpetuates compulsive use and hinders recovery.

### ***2.4. Developmental Vulnerability: Adolescents and Young Adults***

Adolescents and young adults are particularly vulnerable to the biological impacts of cannabis use due to ongoing brain development. During adolescence, the prefrontal cortex, responsible for executive functions and decision-making, undergoes significant maturation. Cannabis exposure during this critical period disrupts neurodevelopment, leading to long-lasting deficits in memory, attention, and learning (16).

Additionally, early initiation of cannabis use is strongly associated with an increased risk of developing CUD in adulthood. Research indicates that adolescents with genetic predispositions or underlying psychiatric conditions are disproportionately affected, highlighting the need for targeted prevention strategies in this demographic (17).

### **2.5. Hormonal and Stress-Response Dysregulation**

Chronic cannabis use disrupts the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, a critical regulator of stress responses. Dysregulation of the HPA axis contributes to heightened stress sensitivity, a known trigger for relapse in individuals with CUD (18). Additionally, hormonal imbalances, such as altered cortisol levels, have been observed in chronic users, further exacerbating dependency risks and complicating recovery efforts (19).

### **2.6. Insights from Animal Models**

Preclinical studies using animal models have provided valuable insights into the biological mechanisms underlying CUD. Rodent studies demonstrate that repeated THC exposure induces long-lasting changes in the ECS and dopamine signaling, mimicking the neuroadaptive processes observed in human CUD (20). These models have also highlighted potential therapeutic targets, such as modulation of CB1 receptor activity or enhancement of endocannabinoid tone, paving the way for novel pharmacological interventions.

## **3. Psychological Factors Contributing to CUD**

### **3.1. The Role of Stress in the Development of Cannabis Use Disorder**

Stress is one of the most significant psychological factors influencing the development of Cannabis Use Disorder (CUD). Many individuals turn to cannabis as a coping mechanism to mitigate stress, anxiety, or emotional discomfort. The short-term anxiolytic effects of cannabis, mediated by its impact on the endocannabinoid system, provide temporary relief, which can reinforce its use in stressful situations (5). Over time, this reliance fosters

dependency as cannabis use becomes a habitual response to stressors.

Chronic stress, in particular, has been linked to dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, amplifying vulnerability to substance use. Individuals with heightened stress sensitivity or those exposed to adverse childhood experiences are more likely to develop patterns of problematic cannabis use (21). This relationship underscores the bidirectional nature of stress and cannabis use, where stress triggers use and cannabis dependency exacerbates stress reactivity.

### **3.2. Anxiety, Depression, and Co-occurring Psychiatric Disorders**

Psychiatric conditions, including anxiety and depression, frequently co-occur with CUD, complicating both its etiology and treatment. Individuals with anxiety disorders often use cannabis to self-medicate, as THC provides short-term relaxation and mood elevation. However, prolonged use can worsen anxiety symptoms due to withdrawal effects and the dysregulation of brain circuits responsible for emotional regulation (22).

Depression also shows a strong association with CUD. Depressive symptoms may lead individuals to seek the mood-enhancing effects of cannabis, but chronic use can exacerbate negative affect through its impact on the brain's reward system and dopaminergic pathways (23). This cyclical relationship between cannabis use and mood disorders highlights the need for integrated mental health and addiction treatments.

### **3.3. Impulsivity and Poor Decision-Making**

Impulsivity and deficits in decision-making are key psychological traits linked to cannabis dependency. Cannabis users with higher impulsivity levels are more likely to initiate use at an earlier age and progress to problematic patterns (24). Neurological studies indicate that chronic cannabis use impairs the prefrontal cortex, the brain region responsible for impulse control and executive functioning.

Impaired decision-making further compounds the issue. Individuals with CUD often underestimate the long-term consequences of cannabis use while overvaluing its immediate effects, such as relaxation or euphoria. These cognitive distortions are reinforced by structural and functional changes in brain circuits related to reward and punishment (25).

### **3.4. Cannabis as a Coping Mechanism for Trauma**

Cannabis use is frequently reported among individuals with a history of trauma, such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Trauma survivors often use cannabis to suppress intrusive thoughts, flashbacks, and hyperarousal symptoms associated with PTSD (26). While cannabis may provide temporary relief, its long-term use increases the risk of dependency and exacerbates emotional dysregulation.

Studies have also highlighted a link between childhood abuse or neglect and the later development of CUD. The psychological scars left by early trauma predispose individuals to substance use as a form of emotional escape, emphasizing the importance of trauma-informed care in addiction prevention and treatment (27).

### **3.5. Social Isolation and Loneliness**

Social isolation and loneliness are potent psychological contributors to CUD. Individuals who lack strong social support systems or experience feelings of isolation may turn to cannabis as a means of coping with their emotional distress. Prolonged loneliness exacerbates psychological vulnerabilities, such as depression and anxiety, creating fertile ground for substance use and dependency (28).

The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the impact of social isolation on substance use. Increased cannabis use was observed during periods of lockdown and restricted social interaction, underscoring the role of loneliness as a risk factor for CUD in contemporary settings (29).

### **3.6. Cognitive Behavioral Patterns and Maladaptive Beliefs**

Cognitive-behavioral theories provide valuable insights into how maladaptive thought patterns contribute to CUD. Individuals with irrational beliefs about cannabis, such as viewing it as a harmless or essential tool for creativity, are more likely to engage in frequent use (30). Over time, these beliefs become ingrained, making it challenging for users to recognize the negative consequences of their dependency.

Furthermore, cognitive distortions, such as catastrophizing or black-and-white thinking, perpetuate cannabis use by reinforcing negative emotional states and the perceived inability to cope without the drug. Addressing these patterns through cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) has shown promise in reducing cannabis use and promoting

healthier coping mechanisms (31).

## **4. Social and Environmental Influences on CUD**

### **4.1. The Influence of Peer Pressure and Social Networks**

Peer relationships and social networks play a pivotal role in the initiation and progression of cannabis use, particularly among adolescents and young adults. Peer pressure is a significant factor in first-time cannabis use, as individuals often feel compelled to conform to the behaviors of their social circles to gain acceptance or avoid social exclusion (32). The normalization of cannabis use within certain peer groups further reinforces consumption patterns, creating an environment where substance use is perceived as a standard or even expected behavior.

Moreover, studies have shown that the density and frequency of cannabis users within an individual's social network directly correlate with the likelihood of initiating and sustaining use. The phenomenon of "peer clustering" emphasizes how exposure to cannabis-positive attitudes and behaviors normalizes its consumption, thereby increasing the risk of dependency (33).

### **4.2. Parental Influence and Family Dynamics**

Family dynamics, including parental attitudes, behaviors, and parenting styles, significantly affect the risk of developing Cannabis Use Disorder (CUD). Adolescents exposed to parental substance use or permissive attitudes toward cannabis are more likely to engage in similar behaviors (34). Conversely, authoritative parenting styles, characterized by high responsiveness and firm boundaries, are associated with reduced risk of cannabis use.

Parental neglect or familial dysfunction exacerbates the likelihood of cannabis dependency. Individuals from households with low parental monitoring, poor communication, or exposure to adverse events, such as domestic violence, are more vulnerable to developing CUD. This underscores the importance of early family-based interventions to mitigate risks (35).

### **4.3. Socioeconomic Status and Neighborhood Characteristics**

Socioeconomic disparities and environmental conditions within neighborhoods significantly shape the prevalence and progression of CUD. Individuals in lower socioeconomic strata often face heightened stressors, including financial instability, limited access to education, and reduced healthcare access, which collectively increase vulnerability to substance use (36).

Additionally, individuals residing in neighborhoods with high crime rates or poor social cohesion are more likely to develop CUD. These areas often have increased availability of cannabis and limited recreational alternatives, which fosters substance use as a coping mechanism. Urbanization and population density further amplify these risks by creating environments where cannabis is more accessible and normalized (37).

#### **4.4. Cultural Attitudes and Media Influence**

Cultural attitudes toward cannabis have undergone significant shifts over recent decades, influencing patterns of use and perceptions of risk. In many regions, the legalization and commercialization of cannabis have led to its normalization, with media often portraying its use as harmless or even beneficial. These portrayals can reduce perceived risks and increase acceptance, particularly among younger demographics (38).

Social media platforms have also emerged as significant influencers. Cannabis-related content on these platforms often glamorizes its use, downplaying potential risks while promoting it as a lifestyle choice. This unregulated exposure can create a distorted perception of cannabis safety and reinforce usage patterns (39).

#### **4.5. The Role of Policy and Legislation**

Policy changes surrounding cannabis, including legalization for medical and recreational purposes, have profound implications for CUD. While legalization can regulate quality and reduce illegal trade, it can also lead to increased accessibility and social acceptance, inadvertently contributing to higher rates of use and dependency (40).

The disparity in regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions creates additional challenges. Inconsistent policies may confuse public perceptions, with individuals underestimating the risks associated with legal substances. Evidence suggests that regions with more lenient cannabis policies often experience higher rates of CUD, emphasizing the need for balanced legislation that prioritizes public health (41).

#### **4.6. The Impact of Environmental Stressors**

Environmental stressors, such as exposure to natural disasters, pandemics, or socio-political unrest, significantly influence cannabis consumption patterns. For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, increased isolation, financial insecurity, and anxiety contributed to a

notable rise in cannabis use globally (42).

Additionally, environmental disasters and their associated psychological stress often lead individuals to seek temporary relief through substance use, including cannabis. The heightened stress levels in such scenarios underscore the role of environmental conditions in shaping addiction vulnerabilities and the need for targeted interventions during crises (5).

### **5. Prevention Strategies**

#### **5.1. Early Interventions and Education Programs**

Early interventions play a critical role in mitigating the risk of Cannabis Use Disorder (CUD). Prevention strategies targeting young individuals through school-based and community-driven educational programs have demonstrated significant effectiveness. These programs aim to provide factual information about the risks of cannabis use, debunk myths, and strengthen decision-making skills to resist peer pressure (43).

Comprehensive education programs emphasize the neurobiological, psychological, and social risks associated with early cannabis initiation. Age-appropriate curricula have been shown to reduce usage rates by fostering awareness and resilience. Programs like LifeSkills Training and Project ALERT have successfully delayed the onset of cannabis use and reduced its prevalence among adolescents (44).

#### **5.2. Family-Based Prevention Approaches**

Family-oriented interventions are vital for preventing CUD, as familial dynamics significantly influence cannabis initiation and continuation. Programs like Strengthening Families focus on enhancing parental monitoring, communication, and the establishment of clear boundaries regarding substance use (45).

Incorporating parents into prevention strategies creates a robust support system for adolescents. By addressing familial conflicts and promoting nurturing environments, these interventions reduce susceptibility to cannabis use while fostering positive coping mechanisms (46).

#### **5.3. Policy-Level Interventions**

Regulatory frameworks play a pivotal role in shaping societal attitudes and behaviors toward cannabis use. Implementing stricter regulations on the sale, advertising, and accessibility of cannabis can significantly curb its misuse. Policies such as age restrictions, taxation,

and warning labels have proven effective in reducing consumption rates (47).

Additionally, public health campaigns can complement policy efforts by disseminating evidence-based messages about the risks of cannabis use. Campaigns targeting high-risk groups, such as adolescents and individuals with a family history of substance use disorders, are particularly impactful in reducing initiation rates (48).

#### **5.4. Community and Social Interventions**

Community-driven initiatives are crucial in fostering environments that discourage cannabis use. Local organizations can implement peer mentorship programs, create safe recreational spaces, and offer alternative outlets for stress management to prevent substance use (49).

Moreover, strengthening community ties through outreach and engagement can mitigate the social normalization of cannabis use. Interventions promoting positive social behaviors and community cohesion effectively reduce the prevalence of cannabis misuse by addressing underlying social determinants (50).

#### **5.5. Technological Innovations in Prevention**

The advent of digital health tools has revolutionized prevention strategies, offering scalable and cost-effective solutions for CUD. Mobile applications and online platforms delivering interactive prevention content have gained traction, particularly among younger populations. Tools such as gamified prevention modules and virtual reality-based simulations enhance user engagement and learning outcomes (51).

Telehealth services and online counseling also provide accessible avenues for individuals at risk of CUD. These platforms enable early identification of problematic use patterns and facilitate timely interventions, thereby reducing the likelihood of escalation (52).

#### **5.6. Mental Health Integration in Prevention Efforts**

Given the strong association between mental health disorders and CUD, integrating mental health services into prevention frameworks is essential. Screening and addressing underlying conditions such as anxiety, depression, and trauma can significantly reduce the risk of cannabis dependency (17).

Integrated care models that combine mental health counseling with substance use education offer a holistic approach to prevention. By addressing the psychological drivers of cannabis use, these models foster long-term

resilience and promote healthier coping mechanisms (53).

#### **5.7. Tailoring Strategies for Vulnerable Populations**

Prevention efforts must consider the unique needs of vulnerable populations, including individuals from low socioeconomic backgrounds, marginalized communities, and those with familial histories of substance use. Culturally sensitive and context-specific interventions are critical to ensuring inclusivity and effectiveness (54).

Programs targeting these groups often involve collaboration with community leaders, healthcare providers, and educators to develop tailored prevention strategies. By addressing specific risk factors and barriers, these initiatives enhance accessibility and impact (55).

### **6. Challenges and Future Directions**

Despite significant progress in understanding and preventing Cannabis Use Disorder (CUD), multiple challenges persist. One major hurdle lies in the rapidly evolving landscape of cannabis legalization and commercialization, which normalizes its use and complicates prevention efforts. The proliferation of cannabis products with varying tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) concentrations adds complexity, as higher-potency forms are more strongly linked to addiction and adverse health outcomes (56). Additionally, the stigma associated with seeking help for substance use disorders limits the reach of prevention and intervention programs. This stigma is particularly pronounced in underserved populations, further exacerbating disparities in access to resources and care (57).

Future research and policy efforts should aim to address these challenges by adopting a multidisciplinary and integrative approach. Longitudinal studies are needed to explore the long-term effects of cannabis use across diverse demographic groups, particularly with the emergence of novel consumption methods such as edibles and vaping. Furthermore, prevention strategies must leverage technological advancements, such as artificial intelligence, to tailor interventions to individual risk profiles and optimize resource allocation. Collaborative efforts between policymakers, researchers, and healthcare providers are crucial to developing evidence-based regulations that balance public health and individual freedoms. By addressing these challenges and fostering innovation, the field can move toward more effective and equitable solutions for mitigating the global burden of CUD.

## 7. Conclusion

Cannabis Use Disorder (CUD) emerges from a complex interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors, necessitating a multifaceted approach to prevention and intervention. This review highlights the intricate mechanisms underlying CUD, emphasizing the importance of integrating neuroscience, mental health, and societal influences into comprehensive prevention strategies. While promising advancements have been made in early education, policy reform, and community-based interventions, significant challenges remain, particularly in addressing disparities and adapting to evolving cannabis trends. Future efforts must prioritize innovation, inclusivity, and cross-disciplinary collaboration to mitigate the growing global burden of CUD and foster healthier, more resilient communities.

### *Conflict of Interest*

The author(s) declares no conflict of interest.

### *Funding*

No external funding was granted for this study.

### *Acknowledgment*

None.

## References

Connery HS, McHugh RK, Reilly M, Shin S, Greenfield SF. Substance use disorders in global mental health delivery: epidemiology, treatment gap, and implementation of evidence-based treatments. *Harvard review of psychiatry*. 2020;28(5):316-27.

Hammond CJ, Chaney A, Hendrickson B, Sharma P. Cannabis use among US adolescents in the era of marijuana legalization: a review of changing use patterns, comorbidity, and health correlates. *International review of psychiatry*. 2020;32(3):221-34.

Hayley AC, Stough C, Downey LA. DSM-5 cannabis use disorder, substance use and DSM-5 specific substance-use disorders: Evaluating comorbidity in a population-based sample. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2017;27(8):732-43.

Pacher P, Batkai S, Kunos G. The endocannabinoid system as an emerging target of pharmacotherapy. *Pharmacological reviews*. 2006;58(3):389-462.

Hyman SM, Sinha R. Stress-related factors in cannabis use and misuse: implications for prevention and treatment. *Journal of substance abuse treatment*. 2009;36(4):400-13.

Whitesell M, Bachand A, Peel J, Brown M. Familial, social, and individual factors contributing to risk for adolescent substance use. *Journal of addiction*. 2013;2013(1):579310.

Alzahrani MS, Alotaibi HA, Alhumayani SM, Aljuaid HF, Alghamdi RS, Althobaiti YS, editors. *Assessment of General Population Understanding and Awareness of Drug Addiction before and after a Health Educational Campaign in Taif City, Saudi Arabia*. Healthcare; 2024: MDPI.

Lu H-C, Mackie K. Review of the endocannabinoid system. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*. 2021;6(6):607-15.

Halbout B, Hutson C, Hua L, Inshishian V, Mahler SV, Ostlund SB. Long-term effects of THC exposure on reward learning and motivated behavior in adolescent and adult male rats. *Psychopharmacology*. 2023;240(5):1151-67.

Cooper ZD, Haney M. Actions of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in cannabis: relation to use, abuse, dependence. *International Review of Psychiatry*. 2009;21(2):104-12.

Gerra MC, Manfredini M, Cortese E, Antonioni MC, Leonardi C, Magnelli F, et al. Genetic and environmental risk factors for cannabis use: Preliminary results for the role of parental care perception. *Substance use & misuse*. 2019;54(4):670-80.

Tao R, Li C, Jaffe AE, Shin JH, Deep-Soboslay A, Yamin Re, et al. Cannabinoid receptor CNR1 expression and DNA methylation in human prefrontal cortex, hippocampus and caudate in brain development and schizophrenia. *Translational psychiatry*. 2020;10(1):158.

Urits I, Gress K, Charipova K, Li N, Berger AA, Cornett EM, et al. Cannabis use and its association with psychological disorders. *Psychopharmacology bulletin*. 2020;50(2):56.

Zehra A, Burns J, Liu CK, Manza P, Wiers CE, Volkow ND, et al. Cannabis addiction and the brain: a review. *Journal of Neuroimmune Pharmacology*. 2018;13:438-52.

Friedman NP, Robbins TW. The role of prefrontal cortex in cognitive control and executive function. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2022;47(1):72-89.

Jacobus J, F Tapert S. Effects of cannabis on the adolescent brain. *Current pharmaceutical design*. 2014;20(13):2186-93.

Muñoz-Galán R, Lana-Lander I, Coronado M, Segura L, Colom J. Association between Cannabis use disorder and mental health disorders in the adolescent population: a Cohort Study. *European addiction research*. 2023;29(5):344-52.

Cservenka A, Lahanas S, Dotson-Bossert J. Marijuana use and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis functioning in humans. *Frontiers in psychiatry*. 2018;9:472.

Dziurkowska E, Wesolowski M. Cortisol as a biomarker of mental disorder severity. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2021;10(21):5204.

Farrelly AM, Vlachou S. Effects of cannabinoid exposure during neurodevelopment on future effects of drugs of abuse: A preclinical perspective. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2021;22(18):9989.

Murphy F, Nasa A, Cullinane D, Raajakesary K, Gazzaz A, Sooknarine V, et al. Childhood trauma, the HPA axis and psychiatric illnesses: a targeted literature synthesis. *Frontiers in psychiatry*. 2022;13:748372.

Kedzior KK, Laeber LT. A positive association between anxiety disorders and cannabis use or cannabis use disorders in the general population-a meta-analysis of 31 studies. *BMC psychiatry*. 2014;14:1-22.

Langlois C, Potvin S, Khullar A, Tourjman SV. Down and high: reflections regarding depression and cannabis. *Frontiers in psychiatry*. 2021;12:625158.

Rinehart L, Spencer S. Which came first: Cannabis use or deficits in impulse control? *Progress in*

*Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*. 2021;106:110066.

Burggren AC, Shirazi A, Ginder N, London ED. Cannabis effects on brain structure, function, and cognition: considerations for medical uses of cannabis and its derivatives. *The American journal of drug and alcohol abuse*. 2019;45(6):563-79.

Metrik J, Stevens AK, Gunn RL, Borsari B, Jackson KM. Cannabis use and posttraumatic stress disorder: prospective evidence from a longitudinal study of veterans. *Psychological medicine*. 2022;52(3):446-56.

Khoury L, Tang YL, Bradley B, Cubells JF, Ressler KJ. Substance use, childhood traumatic experience, and posttraumatic stress disorder in an urban civilian population. *Depression and anxiety*. 2010;27(12):1077-86.

Brandt L, Liu S, Heim C, Heinz A. The effects of social isolation stress and discrimination on mental health. *Translational psychiatry*. 2022;12(1):398.

Bonar EE, Chapman L, McAfee J, Goldstick JE, Bauermeister JA, Carter PM, et al. Perceived impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on cannabis-using emerging adults. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*. 2021;11(7):1299-309.

Ouellette MJ, Rowa K, Cameron DH, Elcock A, Soreni N, Pawluk EJ, et al. Does cannabis use impact cognitive behavioural therapy outcomes for anxiety and related disorders? A preliminary examination. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2022;156:690-7.

Volkow ND, Swanson JM, Evins AE, DeLisi LE, Meier MH, Gonzalez R, et al. Effects of cannabis use on human behavior, including cognition, motivation, and psychosis: a review. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2016;73(3):292-7.

Torrejón-Guirado M-C, Baena-Jiménez MÁ, Lima-Serrano M, de Vries H, Mercken L. The influence of peer's social networks on adolescent's cannabis use: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. *Frontiers in psychiatry*. 2023;14:1306439.

Valente T, Ritt-Olson A, Stacy A, Unger J, Okamoto J, Sussman S. Peer acceleration: Effects of a social network tailored substance abuse prevention program among

high-risk adolescents. *Addiction* (Abingdon, England). 2007;102:1804-15.

Kokotovič KO, Pšunder M, Kirbiš A. Cannabis use and parenting practices among young people: the impact of parenting styles, parental cannabis-specific rules, and parental cannabis use. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2022;19(13):8080.

Lobato Concha ME, Sanderman R, Pizarro E, Hagedoorn M. Parental protective and risk factors regarding cannabis use in adolescence: A national sample from the Chilean school population. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. 2020;46(5):642-50.

Pampel FC, Krueger PM, Denney JT. Socioeconomic disparities in health behaviors. *Annual review of sociology*. 2010;36(1):349-70.

Yangyuen S, Kanato M, Mahaweerawat U. Associations of the neighborhood environment with substance use: a cross-sectional investigation among patients in compulsory drug detention centers in Thailand. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Public Health*. 2018;51(1):23.

Rafei P, Englund A, Lorenzetti V, Elkholy H, Potenza MN, Baldacchino AM. Transcultural aspects of cannabis use: a descriptive overview of cannabis use across cultures. *Current Addiction Reports*. 2023;10(3):458-71.

Berg CJ, LoParco CR, Cui Y, Pannell A, Kong G, Griffith L, et al. A review of social media platform policies that address cannabis promotion, marketing and sales. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*. 2023;18(1):35.

Farrelly KN, Wardell JD, Marsden E, Scarfe ML, Najdzionek P, Turna J, et al. The impact of recreational cannabis legalization on cannabis use and associated outcomes: a systematic review. *Substance abuse: research and treatment*. 2023;17:11782218231172054.

National Academies of Sciences E, Medicine. *Injury and Death. The health effects of cannabis and cannabinoids: The current state of evidence and recommendations for research*: National Academies Press

(US); 2017.

Mehra K, Rup J, Wiese JL, Watson TM, Bonato S, Rueda S. Changes in self-reported cannabis use during the COVID-19 pandemic: a scoping review. *BMC public health*. 2023;23(1):2139.

Porath-Waller A, Beasley E, Beirness D. A Meta-Analytic Review of School-Based Prevention for Cannabis Use. *Health education & behavior : the official publication of the Society for Public Health Education*. 2010;37:709-23.

Tremblay M, Baydala L, Khan M, Currie C, Morley K, Burkholder C, et al. Primary Substance Use Prevention Programs for Children and Youth: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics*. 2020;146.

Kumpfer K. Family-based interventions for the prevention of substance abuse and other impulse control disorders in girls. *International Scholarly Research Notices*. 2014;2014(1):308789.

Griffin KW, Botvin GJ. Evidence-based interventions for preventing substance use disorders in adolescents. *Child and adolescent psychiatric clinics of North America*. 2010;19(3):505.

Borodovsky JT, Sofis MJ, Gruzza RA, Budney AJ. The importance of psychology for shaping legal cannabis regulation. *Experimental and clinical psychopharmacology*. 2021;29(1):99.

Orenstein DG. Nowhere to now, where? Reconciling public cannabis use in a public health legal framework. *Penn St L Rev*. 2021;126:59.

Rubio CMB. *Facilitators and Barriers to Implementing Environmental Prevention Strategies of Drug-Free Communities Support Program Grants*: Walden University; 2012.

Yates-Crowder J. *Enhancing Community Resilience: A Holistic Approach to Addressing Substance Abuse in Burke County*. 2024.

Kasaju N, Remya N, Sasi R, Sujesh S, Soman B, Kesavadas C, et al. *Digital health: trends, opportunities and*

challenges in medical devices, pharma and bio-technology. CSI Transactions on ICT. 2023;11(1):11-30.

Molfenter T, Heitkamp T, Murphy AA, Tapscott S, Behlman S, Cody OJ. Use of telehealth in mental health (MH) services during and after COVID-19. Community Mental Health Journal. 2021;57(7):1244-51.

Ee C, Lake J, Firth J, Hargraves F, de Manincor M, Meade T, et al. An integrative collaborative care model for people with mental illness and physical comorbidities. International Journal of Mental Health Systems. 2020;14.

Steinberg KL, Roffman RA, Carroll KM, Kabela E, Kadden R, Miller M, et al. Tailoring cannabis dependence treatment for a diverse population. Addiction. 2002;97:135-42.

Fischer B, Russell C, Sabioni P, Van Den Brink W, Le Foll B, Hall W, et al. Lower-risk cannabis use guidelines: a comprehensive update of evidence and recommendations. American journal of public health. 2017;107(8):e1-e12.

Connor JP, Stjepanović D, Le Foll B, Hoch E, Budney AJ, Hall WD. Cannabis use and cannabis use disorder. Nature Reviews Disease Primers. 2021;7(1):16.

Earnshaw VA. Stigma and substance use disorders: A clinical, research, and advocacy agenda. American Psychologist. 2020;75(9):1300.